Indian Journal of Environment & Development
Volume 13, Issue 01, 2022

Energy Efficiency and Reliability Optimization in Industrial Electrical Distribution Systems: Technologies, Challenges, and Emerging Practices

Tunji Semiu Adaramola[1*], Ibukun Olaoluwa Adeniji[2], Edikan Nse Gideon[3], Toheeb Oluwaseun Adamolekun[4]

[1]*Nestlé Nigeria Plc, Abaji Plant, Abaji, Federal Capital Territory, Nigeria*

[2]*Sun-Show Nigeria Limited, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria*

[3]*IHS Towers, Delta State, Nigeria*

[4]*Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Oye-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria*

**Corresponding author: Tunji Semiu Adaramola (tsadaramola@gmail.com)*

Abstract

Industrial electrical distribution systems are increasingly required to deliver higher energy efficiency, stronger reliability, and greater resilience while supporting complex production environments, distributed energy resources, and digitally enabled operations. This review examines the principles, technologies, performance metrics, operational constraints, and emerging practices that shape the optimization of industrial electrical distribution performance. The study adopts a structured review approach, synthesizing relevant literature published up to 2022 on industrial energy efficiency, reliability assessment, power quality, automation, predictive maintenance, smart monitoring, microgrids, energy storage, digital twins, artificial intelligence, and related optimization frameworks. The findings show that effective performance improvement depends on integrating energy-use indicators with reliability measures such as interruption frequency, outage duration, equipment availability, maintenance performance, protection effectiveness, and recovery capability. Advanced technologies, including PLC and SCADA automation, intelligent sensors, industrial Internet of Things platforms, predictive analytics, microgrids, distributed storage, blockchain-enabled data exchange, and digital twins, were found to enhance system visibility, control, flexibility, and fault anticipation. However, persistent barriers include ageing infrastructure, inadequate capital investment, legacy-system incompatibility, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, weak governance, limited workforce capability, and production-related constraints. The review concludes that efficiency and reliability should be managed as mutually reinforcing objectives rather than independent engineering priorities. Industrial operators are therefore encouraged to adopt lifecycle-based asset management, condition-based maintenance, advanced metering, coordinated protection, power-quality monitoring, demand flexibility, and phased digital modernization. Future research should

prioritize field-validated intelligent control architectures, explainable artificial intelligence, adaptive protection, interoperable data platforms, and scalable optimization models suited to both advanced and developing industrial economies.

Keywords: Industrial electrical distribution; Energy efficiency; Reliability optimization; Predictive maintenance; Smart microgrids; Digital transformation

DOI: 10.21590/sdfg25q5

1. Introduction

1.1 Industrial Electrical Distribution System Context

Industrial electrical distribution systems constitute the energy-delivery architecture through which electricity is received, transformed, protected, controlled and supplied to production loads. In manufacturing environments, these systems integrate transformers, switchgear, busbars, cables, motor-control centres, variable-speed drives, protection devices and generation. Their performance influences continuity and electrical energy required per unit of industrial output. In Nigeria, unreliable grid supply and dependence on generation have encouraged manufacturers to consider solar solutions to improve supply adequacy, moderate operating costs and reduce exposure to fuel volatility (Sunday & Omoegun, 2018).

The industrial distribution network is more than a passive conduit between the utility connection and loads. It functions as an operational platform in which electrical losses, voltage quality, equipment loading and maintenance decisions interact. Manufacturing-system studies show that energy performance cannot be assessed solely at machine level because plant configuration, process interdependence and system boundaries affect efficiency and improvement opportunities (Menghi et al., 2019). This systems perspective is important where motors, compressed-air systems, heating processes, cooling equipment and lines create variable nonlinear demand.

Reliability is fundamental because disturbances within the distribution network can interrupt production, degrade quality and accelerate equipment deterioration. Industrial facilities are sensitive to voltage sags, interruptions, harmonics and other power-quality deviations, making security of supply inseparable from competitiveness. Evidence from the British distribution context shows that reliability and power quality are increasingly important to industrial strategy as electricity networks become more complex and digitally dependent (Vegunta et al., 2019). Within factories, similar concerns arise where inadequate protection coordination, overloaded components, or poor power quality propagate disturbances across interconnected processes.

Energy efficiency introduces a complementary design objective. Industrial energy management requires systematic assessment rather than isolated equipment replacement because efficient components may perform poorly when incorrectly sized, controlled or scheduled. Structured energy-management models therefore emphasize organisational capability, monitoring, benchmarking and continuous improvement alongside technological intervention (Hasan & Trianni, 2020). This approach shifts attention from nominal ratings toward actual operating conditions and encourages facilities to identify losses arising from loading patterns, unnecessary demand, inefficient conversion and weak operational control.

Electric motor systems are significant because motors and driven equipment account for a major share of industrial electricity use. Efficiency opportunities extend beyond premium-efficiency motors to appropriate sizing, variable-speed control, transmission improvements, maintenance and power-quality management. A structured classification of motor-system measures demonstrates that hardware, drives, plant management and power quality must be considered together when evaluating industrial efficiency investments (Trianni, Cagno & Accordini, 2019). Accordingly, distribution systems must support efficient utilization while maintaining voltage and protection conditions that preserve equipment performance.

Industrial electrification is being reshaped by digitalisation. Industry 4.0 connects sensors, cyber-physical systems, data analytics and intelligent control with manufacturing operations, creating opportunities for energy monitoring and responsive optimization. Greater connectivity increases the importance of interoperability, data quality and coordinated energy-management architectures (da Silva et al., 2020). Distribution networks are therefore evolving toward observable and controllable infrastructures rather than conventionally monitored electrical assets.

A parallel transition concerns distributed energy resources and industrial microgrids. Smart and microgrid architectures can combine on-site generation, storage and controllable loads to improve energy management, supply security and renewable integration within industrial facilities (Brem et al., 2020). Such configurations enable facilities to manage demand and local generation more actively, although their value depends on appropriate protection, control and economic coordination.

Hybrid AC/DC architectures extend this transformation by accommodating conventional AC equipment alongside DC-based renewable sources, storage devices and electronically interfaced loads. Their potential lies in reducing conversion stages and associated losses while facilitating distributed-energy integration, although control, protection and system complexity remain important engineering considerations (Charadi et al., 2021). Industrial electrical distribution should therefore be viewed as integrated infrastructure in which network architecture, load

characteristics, energy-management practice, digital intelligence and distributed resources collectively determine efficiency, reliability and overall operational resilience.

1.2 Energy Efficiency and Reliability Imperatives

Energy efficiency and reliability are inseparable imperatives in industrial electrical distribution because production continuity depends not only on the quantity of electricity supplied but also on how effectively and consistently that electricity is converted into useful output. In developing industrial environments, inefficient load allocation can increase losses, worsen voltage conditions, and intensify dependence on auxiliary generation; optimization of hybrid solar installations has therefore been presented as a means of improving load utilization while strengthening supply adequacy (Sunday & Omoegun, 2019).

The efficiency imperative also extends to electrically driven thermal systems. Air-conditioning and refrigeration loads can impose significant demand on industrial and commercial networks, and their operating efficiency is strongly shaped by control strategy, equipment loading and system condition. Evidence on thermodynamic efficiency and control demonstrates that better regulation of such loads can reduce avoidable consumption and improve overall energy performance (Sunday et al., 2019).

Reliability, however, requires attention to the operating integrity of major electrical assets. High-efficiency induction motors illustrate this interaction particularly well because efficiency gains cannot be evaluated independently of voltage unbalance, harmonic distortion, thermal stress, maintenance requirements and expected service life. These factors directly influence whether efficiency improvements translate into durable operational benefits (Ferreira, Baoming & de Almeida, 2016).

At plant level, systematic energy assessment provides a further mechanism for linking efficiency to economic performance. Industrial assessments can identify opportunities involving motors, compressed air, process heating, controls and other high-consumption systems, while also revealing deficiencies that may undermine equipment availability. Evidence from manufacturing assessments shows that structured evaluation can generate meaningful energy, cost and environmental improvements when recommendations are technically and economically prioritized (Patterson, Singh & Cho, 2022).

The imperative is equally important in African industrialization, where energy security, affordability and production resilience remain persistent concerns. Tunisia’s PROSOL experience demonstrates how coordinated policy, financing and technology deployment can

reduce energy intensity and diversify industrial energy supply, particularly where conventional systems expose firms to fuel and electricity constraints (Omri et al., 2015).

For small and medium-sized manufacturers, motor-system interventions further demonstrate that efficiency and reliability should be treated as complementary investment objectives. Measures targeting motor sizing, controls and operating practices can produce simultaneous economic and environmental benefits while reducing unnecessary electrical demand and improving asset utilization (Errigo, Choi & Kissock, 2022). Consequently, industrial distribution optimization requires an integrated approach in which loss reduction, equipment condition, power quality, load management and continuity of supply are evaluated together rather than as independent engineering concerns.

1.3 Contemporary Operational and Technical Challenges

Contemporary industrial electrical distribution systems operate under demanding technical and organisational conditions. Ageing assets, rising load densities, distributed generation, automation, variable-speed drives and digitally connected control platforms have altered the risk profile of industrial networks. A central challenge is maintaining efficiency and continuity while equipment of different vintages, ratings and communication capabilities operates within the same electrical environment. Industrial electricity intensity evidence from South Africa illustrates how high consumption and limited efficiency gains can constrain competitiveness and increase pressure on stressed supply systems (Inglesi-Lotz & Blignaut, 2012).

Operational complexity is compounded by the need to coordinate production requirements with electrical demand. Demand-response research demonstrates that flexible load management can reduce peak demand and defer network reinforcement, yet effective implementation depends on advanced metering, communication infrastructure and responsive control systems that may not be uniformly available across industrial plants (Siano, 2014). These constraints are important where production schedules tolerate little interruption.

Digitalisation introduces additional vulnerabilities. Industrial control networks were historically engineered around availability, deterministic operation and long equipment lifecycles rather than the security assumptions common to enterprise information technology. Their increasing interconnection therefore creates interoperability, protocol and security challenges that must be managed without compromising real-time control performance (Galloway & Hancke, 2013). At the power-system level, cyber-physical attacks can manipulate measurements, communications or control actions, making cybersecurity a material reliability concern for modern electrical infrastructure (Sridhar et al., 2012).

Technical optimization is also limited by human capability. Distributed industrial operations require personnel able to interpret performance data, coordinate maintenance, manage automation technologies, and translate system-level objectives into plant-level actions; capability gaps can therefore weaken otherwise sound improvement programmes (Falemi, Akhigbe & Akin-Oluyomi, 2020). Governance becomes equally significant as electrical operations converge with digital platforms. Nigerian evidence on IT governance shows that formal control frameworks can strengthen accountability, compliance, and structured technology management, considerations increasingly relevant to industrial operational-technology environments (Fadero et al., 2018).

1.4 Review Aim, Scope, and Objectives

This review examines the principal technologies, operational strategies, and emerging practices shaping energy efficiency and reliability optimization in industrial electrical distribution systems. Its central aim is to provide an integrated assessment of how industrial facilities can reduce electrical losses, improve asset utilization, strengthen continuity of supply, and enhance system resilience while accommodating increasingly complex production demands and digitally enabled infrastructure.

The scope encompasses medium- and low-voltage industrial distribution networks, including transformers, switchgear, protection systems, motor-driven loads, power-quality management, distributed generation, renewable-energy integration, automation, monitoring platforms, and maintenance practices. Particular attention is given to the interaction between efficiency and reliability, recognizing that measures intended to reduce energy consumption must also preserve equipment integrity, operational stability, safety, and production continuity. The review further considers implementation constraints such as ageing infrastructure, cybersecurity exposure, capital limitations, workforce capability, data availability, interoperability, and the integration of legacy equipment with modern control technologies.

The review has four principal objectives. First, it establishes the technical foundations and performance considerations governing industrial distribution efficiency and reliability. Second, it evaluates contemporary technologies used to improve energy performance, monitoring, protection, control, and fault management. Third, it critically examines the operational, technical, and organizational barriers limiting optimization outcomes. Finally, it identifies emerging practices, research priorities, and implementation pathways capable of supporting more intelligent, adaptive, efficient, and resilient industrial electrical distribution systems.

2. Foundations of Distribution System Optimization

2.1 Energy Efficiency Principles and Performance Metrics

Energy efficiency in industrial electrical distribution systems depends on converting supplied electrical energy into productive output with minimum avoidable loss while maintaining acceptable operating conditions. Accordingly, assessment should distinguish absolute consumption from efficiency, since lower electricity use may reflect reduced production rather than improved performance. Energy-related key performance indicators are therefore most useful when normalized against relevant production drivers, operating hours, equipment loading, or product output, allowing changes in energy performance to be interpreted within their operational context (May et al., 2015).

At the plant level, useful metrics include specific energy consumption, load factor, maximum demand, power factor, transformer loading, motor efficiency, distribution losses, and energy cost per unit of production. A generalized manufacturing KPI framework further demonstrates the value of linking factory-, process-, and product-level indicators so that energy performance can be compared across hierarchical levels rather than represented by a single aggregated measure (Schmidt et al., 2016). Benchmarking should likewise account for structural differences among facilities. Statistical energy performance indicators developed from plant-level datasets show that simple energy-per-unit measures can obscure important differences in production mix, capacity utilization, and site characteristics (Boyd, 2017).

Electrical measurements are particularly important because losses are often embedded in apparently normal operation. A Moroccan industrial energy audit identified inefficient power-factor conditions, lighting consumption and harmonic-related losses, illustrating how metering, load characterization and targeted electrical measurements can convert energy data into actionable efficiency opportunities (Boharb et al., 2016). In production management, the integration of energy indicators with operational decision-making is equally important because isolated efficiency measures may fail when production scheduling, equipment utilization and management controls are considered separately (Bunse et al., 2011).

Performance evaluation should also incorporate variability rather than relying exclusively on periodic averages. Statistical process control provides a structured means of detecting deviations, distinguishing common from assignable variation, and maintaining processes within defined performance limits; such principles can support disciplined monitoring where energy consumption is evaluated alongside production stability and process quality (Akanbi, Ganiu & Sunday, 2022). This is especially relevant in industrial distribution systems where abnormal

demand patterns, deteriorating equipment or changes in operating practice may gradually increase consumption before obvious failures emerge.

At system level, efficiency metrics should support both continuous improvement and strategic comparison. Reviews of manufacturing energy efficiency emphasize that measurement must be connected to production configuration, renewable-energy integration and operational policy if indicators are to guide meaningful interventions rather than serve purely as reporting variables (Renna & Materi, 2021). A robust performance architecture therefore combines physical electrical parameters with normalized energy indicators, production metrics and economic measures. Such integration permits engineers to identify where losses occur, quantify improvement potential, prioritize corrective actions and verify whether interventions deliver sustained efficiency gains without compromising industrial reliability, productivity or process requirements.

2.2 Reliability Indicators and System Resilience

Reliability assessment in industrial electrical distribution systems provides a quantitative basis for determining whether electrical infrastructure can sustain production with acceptable interruption frequency, duration and consequence. Conventional indicators include the System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI), System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI), Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI), Average Service Availability Index (ASAI), and energy-not-supplied measures. Ghanaian distribution-grid research demonstrates that historical fault rates, outage durations, and affected-customer data can be converted into predictive reliability indicators, enabling maintenance and planning decisions to become more anticipatory rather than exclusively reactive (Normanyo & Diamenu, 2022).

For industrial facilities, however, customer-oriented indices require complementary asset-level measures. Failure rate, mean time between failures, mean time to repair, equipment availability, protection-operation performance and unplanned downtime provide greater insight into transformers, switchgear, cables, motors and associated equipment. Grounding performance is equally fundamental because inadequate earth-fault paths may compromise protective-device operation, increase equipment exposure and prolong fault-clearing conditions; optimized grounding design consequently contributes to dependable medium-voltage network operation (Shittu et al., 2020).

Maintenance strategy significantly determines these indicators over an asset's operating life. Moving from reactive intervention toward predictive maintenance allows deterioration to be identified before functional failure, using condition information to schedule interventions more strategically and reduce unexpected downtime (Sunday et al., 2020). Reliability assessment must

also accommodate distributed and renewable energy resources, whose variability, storage interfaces and operating uncertainties alter conventional adequacy assumptions and require probabilistic evaluation of system performance (Kumar et al., 2020).

Reliability alone nevertheless cannot fully characterize system behaviour during rare but severe disturbances. Traditional indices predominantly quantify recurrent interruptions, whereas resilience describes the capacity to anticipate, withstand, adapt to and recover from disruptive events. Contemporary resilience assessment therefore considers vulnerability, robustness, restoration speed and adaptive capacity alongside conventional reliability measures (Afzal et al., 2020).

Time-dependent metrics further distinguish the depth of performance degradation from the rate and completeness of recovery. The resilience-trapezoid approach, for example, captures system response across disturbance, degradation, restoration and post-event phases, allowing operational and infrastructure resilience to be evaluated separately (Panteli et al., 2017). Such measures are valuable for industrial networks where identical outage durations can produce substantially different production consequences.

Resilience metrics should therefore be selected according to disturbance characteristics, system boundaries and decision objectives rather than applied uniformly. Quantitative frameworks increasingly combine resistance, recovery, adaptation and economic consequence to determine whether proposed investments genuinely strengthen system performance under exceptional conditions (Raoufi, Vahidinasab & Mehran, 2020). Integrating these indicators with conventional reliability measures provides a more complete basis for prioritizing redundancy, protection upgrades, predictive maintenance, distributed resources and restoration capabilities across industrial electrical distribution systems.

3. Technologies for Efficiency and Reliability

Technological advancement has transformed industrial electrical distribution from largely passive infrastructure into increasingly observable, controllable and adaptive systems. Contemporary optimization relies on the coordinated deployment of automation, intelligent sensing, supervisory control, distributed energy resources, advanced maintenance technologies and digital energy-management platforms. Within industrial facilities, programmable logic controllers and integrated engineering environments enable process variables, electrical loads and equipment states to be monitored and controlled with greater precision. Case-based implementation using Siemens TIA Portal illustrates how centralized programming, visualization and automated control can improve process coordination and reduce operating inefficiencies arising from manual intervention (Sunday & Omoegun, 2022).

Smart sensing extends this capability by converting equipment condition into continuously available operational information. Sensors measuring temperature, vibration, pressure, current, and other variables can identify deviations before deterioration develops into disruptive failure. Sensor-based fault detection in HVAC applications demonstrates the potential for continuous monitoring to detect abnormal operating conditions and support corrective intervention while equipment remains serviceable (Sunday & Omoegun, 2022). At a broader level, predictive-maintenance architectures combine such measurements with statistical analysis, machine learning and remaining-useful-life estimation to shift maintenance from predetermined schedules toward condition-informed interventions (Zonta et al., 2020).

Internet of Things technologies provide the communication layer required to connect machines, meters and control platforms. In smart manufacturing, machine-level energy measurements can be collected in real time and linked with production information, permitting energy performance to become an operational decision variable rather than a periodically reviewed utility cost (Shrouf et al., 2014). Digital twins extend this principle by establishing dynamic virtual representations of physical assets or systems that can assimilate operational data, support scenario analysis, and strengthen decision-making under changing conditions (VanDerHorn & Mahadevan, 2021).

Table 1. Illustrative technology functions in industrial distribution

Technology	Efficiency function	Reliability function
PLC and SCADA automation	Optimizes operating sequences	Accelerates fault recognition
Smart sensors and IoT	Identifies avoidable consumption	Enables condition monitoring
Predictive analytics	Improves maintenance timing	Reduces unexpected failures
Digital twins	Tests operating strategies	Supports asset-health assessment
Microgrids and storage	Manages demand and generation	Provides supply flexibility
Blockchain platforms	Coordinates energy transactions	Strengthens data integrity
Hydrogen integration	Enables energy-system flexibility	Diversifies energy resources

Industrial microgrids provide another important technological pathway because they combine distributed generation, storage and controllable loads within a coordinated local network. South African experience demonstrates the architectural value of microgrids for integrating renewable resources and strengthening electricity availability where centralized supply is constrained

(Motjoadi, Bokoro & Onibonoje, 2020). More advanced control architectures can coordinate photovoltaic generation, battery storage and flexible loads across multiple hierarchical levels, simultaneously managing forecast uncertainty, power flow and inverter response (Mahmud et al., 2019).

Digitalization also changes the security requirements of industrial power systems. SCADA-controlled networks increasingly depend on data exchange between physically dispersed controllers, sensors and supervisory platforms. Blockchain-assisted architectures can provide tamper-resistant transaction records and decentralized verification mechanisms, potentially strengthening integrity and traceability within digitally controlled electrical systems (Opara et al., 2022). Similar principles have been applied to peer-to-peer renewable microgrids, where blockchain can facilitate decentralized energy exchange and settlement between network participants (Shittu et al., 2021).

Beyond electricity-centric technologies, sector coupling is widening the range of resources that may contribute to industrial flexibility. Hydrogen can function as a secondary energy carrier capable of absorbing surplus electricity and subsequently supporting electricity or process-energy requirements, creating additional pathways for integrating intermittent renewable generation (Adeniji et al., 2019). Collectively, these technologies indicate that efficiency and reliability improvements increasingly arise from integration rather than isolated equipment upgrades: sensing generates visibility, automation enables control, analytics anticipates degradation, distributed resources provide flexibility, and secure digital platforms coordinate system-wide decisions.

4. Optimization Challenges in Industrial Distribution

Optimization of industrial electrical distribution systems is constrained by an interconnected set of technical, financial, operational and institutional factors that frequently prevent theoretically attractive efficiency measures from achieving their expected performance in practice. Industrial facilities commonly operate networks containing equipment installed at different periods, with varying efficiencies, control capabilities and remaining service lives. Decisions concerning replacement or modernization must consequently balance energy savings against capital availability, production disruption, reliability risk and the economic consequences of premature asset retirement. Barrier analysis demonstrates that such constraints are rarely isolated; instead, economic, organizational and behavioural factors interact to sustain the industrial energy-efficiency gap (Cagno et al., 2013).

Capital limitation remains particularly influential. Evidence from manufacturing SMEs in Pakistan indicates that restricted financing, competing investment priorities, inadequate

information and uncertainty surrounding expected savings can discourage technically viable efficiency investments (Hassan, Burek & Asif, 2017). Similar conditions occur in African industrial environments. Research within Ghana's largest industrial area found that limited budgetary funding and access to capital were prominent barriers, compounded by weak energy-management practices and insufficient policy support (Apeaning & Thollander, 2013).

Institutional conditions can further determine whether optimization becomes embedded in routine industrial decision-making. Ugandan evidence shows that fragmented institutional responsibilities, weak enforcement, discontinuous programmes and limited organizational capacity can prevent efficiency initiatives from progressing from temporary projects to sustained industrial practice (Tesfamichael et al., 2022). At firm level, governance structures are therefore important because procurement, compliance, vendor management and operational quality influence whether technologies are selected, implemented and maintained consistently across facilities (Akin-Oluyomi & Akhigbe, 2022).

Technical modernization introduces another layer of complexity. Industrial distribution systems increasingly incorporate sensors, intelligent meters, programmable controllers, communication networks and analytical platforms. Smart-factory architectures offer substantial benefits, but implementation requires reliable connectivity, compatible devices, robust data infrastructure and personnel capable of managing cyber-physical integration (Chen et al., 2018). Legacy equipment presents a particular obstacle because proprietary protocols, fragmented architectures and heterogeneous automation platforms may not communicate seamlessly with newer digital systems. The wider Industry 4.0 literature identifies interoperability, standardization, technological integration and scalable information exchange as persistent challenges to interconnected industrial environments (Lu, 2017).

Table 2. Principal optimization barriers and system implications

Challenge	Typical industrial manifestation	Optimization consequence
Ageing infrastructure	Obsolete transformers, switchgear and drives	Higher losses and failure exposure
Capital limitations	Deferred upgrades and monitoring investments	Persistent efficiency gap
Production constraints	Limited shutdown opportunities	Delayed maintenance and retrofits
Legacy interoperability	Incompatible protocols and equipment	Fragmented monitoring and control
Cybersecurity	Connected PLC, SCADA and IoT assets	Increased operational risk

exposure		
Skills deficiencies	Limited analytical and automation expertise	Poor technology utilization
Governance weaknesses	Fragmented procurement and accountability	Inconsistent optimization
Load variability	Changing shifts and production volumes	Difficult equipment optimization

Digital connectivity also expands the attack surface of industrial electrical infrastructure. Integration between operational technology, enterprise systems, cloud services and remotely accessible devices introduces vulnerabilities that conventional isolated control systems were not designed to withstand. Cybersecurity must therefore be incorporated into optimization architecture rather than treated as an independent information-technology function, particularly because compromised industrial control can affect physical equipment and process continuity (Lezzi, Lazoi & Corallo, 2018).

Organizational readiness can be equally restrictive. Smart-manufacturing maturity research shows that smaller firms frequently face shortages of financial resources, specialist knowledge, digital strategy and suitable infrastructure, making comprehensive technological transformation considerably more difficult than isolated equipment upgrades (Mittal et al., 2018). In multi-shift manufacturing, optimization is further complicated by the interaction between electrical demand, workforce deployment, equipment utilization and throughput targets. Production balancing must therefore avoid energy improvements that unintentionally constrain output or create bottlenecks elsewhere in the process (Akanbi, Ganiu & Sunday, 2022).

These challenges interact dynamically: deferred investment contributes to ageing assets; ageing assets complicate automation; weak monitoring obscures inefficiencies; limited skills restrict analytical use of collected data; and cybersecurity requirements increase the complexity and cost of digital integration. Effective optimization consequently requires coordinated treatment of electrical infrastructure, production scheduling, capital planning, workforce capability, information architecture and governance rather than reliance on isolated technical interventions.

5. Emerging Practices and Future Directions

Emerging practices in industrial electrical distribution increasingly move beyond isolated efficiency measures toward integrated, data-driven management of energy consumption, asset health and system resilience. A significant direction is the convergence of reliability-centred maintenance with continuous-improvement methodologies. Integrating Lean Six Sigma with

reliability-centred maintenance provides a framework through which waste reduction, failure prevention, process stability and asset performance can be managed collectively rather than through independent engineering programmes (Olutimehin & Ganiu, 2022). For industrial distribution systems, such integration can support more systematic prioritization of transformers, switchgear, motors and auxiliary electrical assets according to criticality, failure consequence and energy performance.

Predictive maintenance is similarly evolving through machine learning. Algorithms trained on current, temperature, vibration and operational data can identify degradation patterns that conventional threshold-based monitoring may overlook. Machine-learning applications increasingly support classification, anomaly detection and remaining-useful-life estimation, creating opportunities to move maintenance decisions closer to actual equipment condition rather than fixed servicing intervals (Carvalho et al., 2019). Future industrial systems are therefore likely to integrate asset-condition information directly with electrical load and production data.

Digital twins represent a further progression by creating continuously updated virtual representations of physical equipment and systems. Their maintenance applications allow engineers to compare measured behaviour with simulated performance, investigate abnormal operating conditions and evaluate maintenance interventions before implementation (Errandonea, Beltrán & Arrizabalaga, 2020). More advanced predictive-maintenance architectures can combine digital twins with data-driven degradation models, although computational requirements, heterogeneous data and model complexity remain significant research challenges (van Dinter, Tekinerdogan & Catal, 2022).

Artificial intelligence is also expected to assume a wider role in electrical-system supervision. AI-based stability assessment, forecasting and control can potentially process complex system states more rapidly than conventional deterministic approaches, particularly as renewable generation, power electronics and controllable loads increase distribution-system complexity (Shi et al., 2020). A corresponding research priority is the development of transparent and robust algorithms whose decisions remain interpretable to engineers under abnormal operating conditions.

Demand flexibility offers another important pathway. Intelligent demand-side management allows electrical loads to respond to tariffs, network conditions or internal energy constraints, enabling peak shaving, load shifting and more coordinated use of distributed generation without necessarily reducing production output (Palensky & Dietrich, 2011). Industrial facilities with controllable pumps, compressors, HVAC systems and thermal processes may therefore become active participants in energy balancing rather than passive electricity consumers.

Microgrids will further reshape industrial distribution by allowing facilities to coordinate on-site generation, storage and controllable loads. Hierarchical control structures provide a basis for balancing primary equipment response, network-level voltage and frequency regulation, and higher-level economic operation (Olivares et al., 2014). African applications are particularly relevant where grid reliability is variable. Research involving Egyptian institutions demonstrates that coordinated photovoltaic generation, battery storage and converter control can strengthen DC-microgrid voltage stability and permit operation under both grid-connected and stand-alone conditions (Emara et al., 2021).

Energy storage consequently represents a strategic component of future industrial resilience. Battery systems can support peak reduction, renewable-energy smoothing, power-quality improvement and temporary supply during disturbances, thereby linking efficiency objectives with continuity requirements (Divya & Østergaard, 2009). Distributed and behind-the-meter storage is also increasingly evaluated for voltage support, frequency regulation and other distribution-level services, although economics, regulatory structures and appropriate valuation methodologies remain areas requiring further development (McIlwaine et al., 2021).

Future industrial electrical distribution systems are therefore likely to become increasingly predictive, decentralized and adaptive. Their optimization will depend on integrating intelligent maintenance, digital twins, flexible loads, storage, microgrid control and artificial intelligence within interoperable architectures. The principal research challenge will be ensuring that greater digital sophistication produces measurable reductions in losses and failures while preserving cybersecurity, explainability, maintainability and operational resilience.

Conclusion

This review has demonstrated that improving industrial electrical distribution performance requires the simultaneous pursuit of energy efficiency, reliability, resilience, and operational adaptability. The study's objectives were achieved by first establishing the technical foundations governing energy use and distribution-system dependability, then examining the technologies, performance indicators, implementation challenges, and emerging practices that increasingly shape industrial power systems. The analysis shows that efficiency cannot be assessed solely through reductions in electricity consumption; meaningful optimization depends on normalized performance metrics, equipment loading, power quality, production requirements, asset condition, and the continuity of electrical service.

The review further established that reliability must extend beyond conventional interruption indices to encompass equipment availability, grounding integrity, protection performance, predictive maintenance, disturbance tolerance, and recovery capability. Technologies such as

intelligent sensing, PLC and SCADA automation, industrial IoT, digital twins, predictive analytics, microgrids, energy storage, blockchain-enabled data exchange, and artificial intelligence are expanding the capacity of industrial facilities to monitor, anticipate, and control system performance. Their benefits, however, remain constrained by ageing infrastructure, capital limitations, interoperability problems, cybersecurity exposure, workforce deficiencies, weak governance, and production-related restrictions.

The evidence therefore indicates that the most effective optimization strategy is an integrated one in which electrical engineering, maintenance, digitalization, energy management, and organizational decision-making are treated as mutually dependent functions. Industrial operators should prioritize condition-based asset management, advanced metering, power-quality monitoring, coordinated protection, demand flexibility, and phased modernization of legacy infrastructure. Investment decisions should be guided by lifecycle cost, reliability consequence, and measurable energy-performance indicators rather than initial capital cost alone.

Future research should emphasize field-validated digital twins, explainable artificial intelligence, adaptive protection, interoperable industrial data architectures, and economically scalable storage and microgrid solutions. Greater attention should also be directed toward implementation models suitable for developing economies, where infrastructure constraints and financing barriers may substantially influence the feasibility and sustainability of advanced optimization technologies.

References

1. Adeniji, I.O., Shittu, H., Opara, I.S., Elumilade, R.A. and Liadi, K.O. (2019) ‘Hydrogen as a secondary energy carrier: Modeling its integration in national grids’, IRE Journal, 3(1), p. 16.
2. Afzal, S., Mokhlis, H., Illias, H.A., Mansor, N.N. and Shareef, H. (2020) ‘State-of-the-art review on power system resilience and assessment techniques’, IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 14(25), pp. 6107–6121. DOI: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2020.0531.
3. Akanbi, O., Ganiu, O.S. and Sunday, E.A. (2022) ‘A workforce-centric line-balancing model for throughput maximization in multi-shift operations’, Shodhshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(3). DOI: 10.32628/SHISRRJ.
4. Akanbi, O., Ganiu, O.S. and Sunday, E.A. (2022) ‘Comprehensive review of statistical process control (SPC) for precision weight management in global food manufacturing’, Gyanshauryam: International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(3), pp. 433–461.
5. Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. and Akhigbe, R. (2022) ‘A supply chain governance model for enhancing compliance and operational quality across retail networks’.
6. Apeaning, R.W. and Thollander, P. (2013) ‘Barriers to and driving forces for industrial energy efficiency improvements in African industries – a case study of Ghana’s largest

industrial area', Journal of Cleaner Production, 53, pp. 204–213. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.04.003.

7. Boharb, A., Allouhi, A., Saidur, R., Kousksou, T., Jamil, A., Mourad, Y. and Benbassou, A. (2016) 'Auditing and analysis of energy consumption of an industrial site in Morocco', Energy, 101, pp. 332–342. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2016.02.035.
8. Boyd, G.A. (2017) 'Comparing the statistical distributions of energy efficiency in manufacturing: Meta-analysis of 24 case studies to develop industry-specific energy performance indicators (EPI)', Energy Efficiency, 10, pp. 217–238. DOI: 10.1007/s12053-016-9450-y.
9. Brem, A., Adrita, M.M., O'Sullivan, D.T.J. and Bruton, K. (2020) 'Industrial smart and micro grid systems – A systematic mapping study', Journal of Cleaner Production, 244, 118828. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118828.
10. Bunse, K., Vodicka, M., Schönsleben, P., Brülhart, M. and Ernst, F.O. (2011) 'Integrating energy efficiency performance in production management – gap analysis between industrial needs and scientific literature', Journal of Cleaner Production, 19(6–7), pp. 667–679. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.11.011.
11. Cagno, E., Worrell, E., Trianni, A. and Pugliese, G. (2013) 'A novel approach for barriers to industrial energy efficiency', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 19, pp. 290–308. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.007.
12. Carvalho, T.P., Soares, F.A.A.M.N., Vita, R., Francisco, R.P., Basto, J.P. and Alcalá, S.G.S. (2019) 'A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance', Computers & Industrial Engineering, 137, 106024. DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024.
13. Charadi, S., Chaibi, Y., Redouane, A., Allouhi, A., El Hasnaoui, A. and Mahmoudi, H. (2021) 'Efficiency and energy-loss analysis for hybrid AC/DC distribution systems and microgrids: A review', International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems, 31(12), e13203. DOI: 10.1002/2050-7038.13203.
14. Chen, B., Wan, J., Shu, L., Li, P., Mukherjee, M. and Yin, B. (2018) 'Smart factory of Industry 4.0: Key technologies, application case, and challenges', IEEE Access, 6, pp. 6505–6519. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2783682.
15. da Silva, F.S.T., da Costa, C.A., Crovato, C.D.P. and Righi, R.R. (2020) 'Looking at energy through the lens of Industry 4.0: A systematic literature review of concerns and challenges', Computers & Industrial Engineering, 143, 106426. DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2020.106426.
16. Divya, K.C. and Østergaard, J. (2009) 'Battery energy storage technology for power systems—An overview', Electric Power Systems Research, 79(4), pp. 511–520. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsr.2008.09.017.
17. Emara, D., Ezzat, M., Abdelaziz, A.Y., Mahmoud, K., Lehtonen, M. and Darwish, M.M.F. (2021) 'Novel control strategy for enhancing microgrid operation connected to

photovoltaic generation and energy storage systems', Electronics, 10(11), 1261. DOI: 10.3390/electronics10111261.

18. Errandonea, I., Beltrán, S. and Arrizabalaga, S. (2020) 'Digital Twin for maintenance: A literature review', Computers in Industry, 123, 103316. DOI: 10.1016/j.compind.2020.103316.
19. Errigo, A., Choi, J.-K. and Kissock, K. (2022) 'Techno-economic-environmental impacts of industrial energy assessment: Sustainable industrial motor systems of small and medium-sized enterprises', Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, 49, 101694. DOI: 10.1016/j.seta.2021.101694.
20. Fadero, S., Oyesiji, S.O., Nwakamma, S. and Jooda, D. (2018) 'IT governance frameworks (COBIT/ITIL) and their impact on regulatory compliance in Nigerian insurance firms', IRE Journals, 2(5), pp. 478–496. DOI: 10.64388/IREV2I5-1722619.
21. Falemi, A., Akhigbe, R. and Akin-Oluyomi, O.T. (2020) 'A conceptual supply chain talent development model for capability building across distributed operations'.
22. Ferreira, F.J.T.E., Baoming, G. and de Almeida, A.T. (2016) 'Reliability and operation of high-efficiency induction motors', IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 52(6), pp. 4628–4637. DOI: 10.1109/TIA.2016.2600677.
23. Galloway, B. and Hancke, G.P. (2013) 'Introduction to industrial control networks', IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 15(2), pp. 860–880. DOI: 10.1109/SURV.2012.071812.00124.
24. Hasan, A.S.M.M. and Trianni, A. (2020) 'A review of energy management assessment models for industrial energy efficiency', Energies, 13(21), 5713. DOI: 10.3390/en13215713.
25. Hassan, M.T., Burek, S. and Asif, M. (2017) 'Barriers to industrial energy efficiency improvement—manufacturing SMEs of Pakistan', Energy Procedia, 113, pp. 135–142. DOI: 10.1016/j.egypro.2017.04.040.
26. Inglesi-Lotz, R. and Blignaut, J.N. (2012) 'Electricity intensities of the OECD and South Africa: A comparison', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 16(7), pp. 4491–4499. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.04.004.
27. Kumar, S., Saket, R.K., Dheer, D.K., Holm-Nielsen, J.B. and Sanjeevikumar, P. (2020) 'Reliability enhancement of electrical power system including impacts of renewable energy sources: A comprehensive review', IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 14(10), pp. 1799–1815. DOI: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2019.1402.
28. Lezzi, M., Lazoi, M. and Corallo, A. (2018) 'Cybersecurity for Industry 4.0 in the current literature: A reference framework', Computers in Industry, 103, pp. 97–110. DOI: 10.1016/j.compind.2018.09.004.
29. Lu, Y. (2017) 'Industry 4.0: A survey on technologies, applications and open research issues', Journal of Industrial Information Integration, 6, pp. 1–10. DOI: 10.1016/j.jii.2017.04.005.

30. Mahmud, K., Sahoo, A.K., Ravishankar, J. and Dong, Z.Y. (2019) 'Coordinated multilayer control for energy management of grid-connected AC microgrids', IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 55(6), pp. 7071–7081. DOI: 10.1109/TIA.2019.2931490.
31. May, G., Barletta, I., Stahl, B. and Taisch, M. (2015) 'Energy management in production: A novel method to develop key performance indicators for improving energy efficiency', Applied Energy, 149, pp. 46–61. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.065.
32. McIlwaine, N., Foley, A.M., Morrow, D.J., Al Kez, D., Zhang, C., Lu, X. and Best, R.J. (2021) 'A state-of-the-art techno-economic review of distributed and embedded energy storage for energy systems', Energy, 229, 120461. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2021.120461.
33. Menghi, R., Papetti, A., Germani, M. and Marconi, M. (2019) 'Energy efficiency of manufacturing systems: A review of energy assessment methods and tools', Journal of Cleaner Production, 240, 118276. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118276.
34. Mittal, S., Khan, M.A., Romero, D. and Wuest, T. (2018) 'A critical review of smart manufacturing & Industry 4.0 maturity models: Implications for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)', Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 49, pp. 194–214. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmsy.2018.10.005.
35. Motjoadi, V., Bokoro, P.N. and Onibonoje, M.O. (2020) 'A review of microgrid-based approach to rural electrification in South Africa: Architecture and policy framework', Energies, 13(9), 2193. DOI: 10.3390/en13092193.
36. Normanyo, E. and Diamenu, G. (2022) 'Predicting reliability of electric power distribution grid using historical outage data', American Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, 11(4), pp. 66–78. DOI: 10.11648/j.epes.20221104.11.
37. Olivares, D.E., Mehrizi-Sani, A., Etemadi, A.H., Cañizares, C.A., Iravani, R., Kazerani, M., Hajimiragha, A.H., Gomis-Bellmunt, O., Saeedifard, M., Palma-Behnke, R., Jiménez-Estévez, G.A. and Hatziargyriou, N.D. (2014) 'Trends in microgrid control', IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, 5(4), pp. 1905–1919. DOI: 10.1109/TSG.2013.2295514.
38. Olutimehin, A.O. and Ganiu, O.S. (2022) 'Integrating Lean Six Sigma with reliability-centered maintenance: A unified framework for manufacturing excellence', International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 3(6), pp. 983–996. DOI: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.6.983-996.
39. Omri, E., Chtourou, N. and Bazin, D. (2015) 'Solar thermal energy for sustainable development in Tunisia: The case of the PROSOL project', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 41, pp. 1312–1323. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2014.09.023.
40. Opara, I.S., Shittu, M.A., Adeniji, I.O. and Shittu, H. (2022) 'Blockchain-assisted secure data exchange architectures for SCADA-controlled power systems', IRE Journals, 6(3), p. 21.

41. Palensky, P. and Dietrich, D. (2011) 'Demand side management: Demand response, intelligent energy systems, and smart loads', IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 7(3), pp. 381–388. DOI: 10.1109/TII.2011.2158841.
42. Panteli, M., Mancarella, P., Trakas, D.N., Kyriakides, E. and Hatziargyriou, N. (2017) 'Metrics and quantification of operational and infrastructure resilience in power systems', IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 32(6), pp. 4732–4742. DOI: 10.1109/TPWRS.2017.2664141.
43. Patterson, M., Singh, P. and Cho, H. (2022) 'The current state of the industrial energy assessment and its impacts on the manufacturing industry', Energy Reports, 8, pp. 7297–7311. DOI: 10.1016/j.egyr.2022.05.242.
44. Raoufi, H., Vahidinasab, V. and Mehran, K. (2020) 'Power systems resilience metrics: A comprehensive review of challenges and outlook', Sustainability, 12(22), 9698. DOI: 10.3390/su12229698.
45. Renna, P. and Materi, S. (2021) 'A literature review of energy efficiency and sustainability in manufacturing systems', Applied Sciences, 11(16), 7366. DOI: 10.3390/app11167366.
46. Schmidt, C., Li, W., Thiede, S., Kornfeld, B., Kara, S. and Herrmann, C. (2016) 'Implementing key performance indicators for energy efficiency in manufacturing', Procedia CIRP, 57, pp. 758–763. DOI: 10.1016/j.procir.2016.11.131.
47. Shi, Z., Yao, W., Li, Z., Zeng, L., Zhao, Y., Zhang, R., Tang, Y. and Wen, J. (2020) 'Artificial intelligence techniques for stability analysis and control in smart grids: Methodologies, applications, challenges and future directions', Applied Energy, 278, 115733. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115733.
48. Shittu, H., Shittu, M., Adeleke, O. and Adedokun, O. (2021) 'Blockchain-based energy trading models for peer-to-peer renewable microgrids', International Journal of Research in Electrical and Electronics Engineering, 4.
49. Shittu, M.A., Adeniji, I.O., Shittu, H. and Opara, I.S. (2020) 'Grounding system design optimization for medium-voltage distribution networks in emerging power markets', IRE Journal, 3, pp. 11–19.
50. Shrouf, F., Ordieres, J. and Miragliotta, G. (2014) 'Smart factories in Industry 4.0: A review of the concept and of energy management approached in production based on the Internet of Things paradigm', in 2014 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, pp. 697–701. DOI: 10.1109/IEEM.2014.7058728.
51. Siano, P. (2014) 'Demand response and smart grids—A survey', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 30, pp. 461–478. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2013.10.022.
52. Sridhar, S., Hahn, A. and Govindarasu, M. (2012) 'Cyber-physical system security for the electric power grid', Proceedings of the IEEE, 100(1), pp. 210–224. DOI: 10.1109/JPROC.2011.2165269.

53. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2018) 'Integrating solar power solutions in small-scale manufacturing industries in Nigeria', International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 4(8), pp. 832–853.
54. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2019) 'Optimizing electrical load distribution for hybrid solar installations in developing economies', International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 3(6), pp. 27–47.
55. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2022) 'Automation of process control systems using Siemens TIA Portal: A case study approach', International Journal of Scientific Research in Mechanical and Materials Engineering, 6(5), pp. 30–55.
56. Sunday, E.A. and Omoegun, G.O. (2022) 'Smart fault detection in HVAC systems using sensor-based monitoring', International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology.
57. Sunday, E.A., Omoegun, G.O., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2019) 'Thermodynamic efficiency and control strategies in residential air conditioning systems', International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 3(3), pp. 52–76.
58. Sunday, E.A., Omoegun, G.O., Essien, M.A. and Oluokun, O.A. (2020) 'Transitioning from reactive to predictive maintenance in mechanical systems', International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 6(6), pp. 425–447.
59. Tesfamichael, M., Twinomujuni, E., Ogeya, M., Ssebagala, S. and Mulugetta, Y. (2022) 'Barriers to the institutionalization of industrial energy efficiency in Africa: A case study from Uganda', WIREs Energy and Environment, 11(3), e427. DOI: 10.1002/wene.427.
60. Trianni, A., Cagno, E. and Accordini, D. (2019) 'Energy efficiency measures in electric motors systems: A novel classification highlighting specific implications in their adoption', Applied Energy, 252, 113481. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113481.
61. van Dinter, R., Tekinerdogan, B. and Catal, C. (2022) 'Predictive maintenance using digital twins: A systematic literature review', Information and Software Technology, 151, 107008. DOI: 10.1016/j.infsof.2022.107008.
62. VanDerHorn, E. and Mahadevan, S. (2021) 'Digital Twin: Generalization, characterization and implementation', Decision Support Systems, 145, 113524. DOI: 10.1016/j.dss.2021.113524.
63. Vegunta, S.C., Watts, C.F.A., Djokic, S.Z., Milanović, J.V. and Higginson, M.J. (2019) 'Review of GB electricity distribution system's electricity security of supply, reliability and power quality in meeting UK industrial strategy requirements', IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 13(16), pp. 3513–3523. DOI: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2019.0052.
64. Zonta, T., da Costa, C.A., da Rosa Righi, R., de Lima, M.J., da Trindade, E.S. and Li, G.P. (2020) 'Predictive maintenance in the Industry 4.0: A systematic literature review', Computers & Industrial Engineering, 150, 106889. DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2020.106889.

Indian Journal of Environment & Development
Volume 13, Issue 01, 2022